



Risk of ESRD and All Cause Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes According to Circulating Levels of FGF-23 and TNFR1

Citation

Lee, Jung Eun, Tomohito Gohda, William H. Walker, Jan Skupien, Adam M. Smiles, Rita R. Holak, Jackson Jeong, Kevin P. McDonnell, Andrzej Stefan Krolewski, and Monika Anna Niewczas. 2013. Risk of ESRD and all cause mortality in Type 2 diabetes according to circulating levels of FGF-23 and TNFR1. PLoS ONE 8(3): e58007.

Published Version

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058007

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:10622952>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Risk of ESRD and All Cause Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes According to Circulating Levels of FGF-23 and TNFR1

Jung Eun Lee^{1,2,3}, Tomohito Gohda^{1,3,4}, William H. Walker¹, Jan Skupien^{1,3,5}, Adam M. Smiles¹, Rita R. Holak¹, Jackson Jeong¹, Kevin P. McDonnell¹, Andrzej S. Krolewski^{1,3}, Monika A. Niewczas^{1,3*}

1 Research Division, Joslin Diabetes Center, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America, **2** Division of Nephrology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea, **3** Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America, **4** Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Juntendo University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan, **5** Department of Metabolic Diseases, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

Abstract

Introduction: Recent studies demonstrated that circulating fibroblast growth factor (FGF)-23 was associated with risk of end stage renal disease (ESRD) and mortality. This study aims to examine whether the predictive effect of FGF-23 is independent from circulating levels of tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 (TNFR1), a strong predictor of ESRD in Type 2 diabetes (T2D).

Methods: We studied 380 patients with T2D who were followed for 8–12 years and were used previously to examine the effect of TNFR1. Baseline plasma FGF-23 was measured by immunoassay.

Results: During follow-up, 48 patients (13%) developed ESRD and 83 patients (22%) died without ESRD. In a univariate analysis, baseline circulating levels of FGF-23 and TNFR1 were significantly higher in subjects who subsequently developed ESRD or died without ESRD than in those who remained alive. In a Cox proportional hazard model, baseline concentration of FGF-23 was associated with increased risk of ESRD, however its effect was no longer significant after controlling for TNFR1 and other clinical characteristics (HR 1.3, $p=0.15$). The strong effect of circulating level of TNFR1 on risk of ESRD was not changed by including circulating levels of FGF-23 (HR 8.7, $p<0.001$). In the Cox multivariate model, circulating levels of FGF-23 remained a significant independent predictor of all-cause mortality unrelated to ESRD (HR 1.5, $p<0.001$).

Conclusions: We demonstrated that the effect of circulating levels of FGF-23 on the risk of ESRD is accounted for by circulating levels of TNFR1. We confirmed that circulating levels of FGF-23 have an independent effect on all-cause mortality in T2D.

Citation: Lee JE, Gohda T, Walker WH, Skupien J, Smiles AM, et al. (2013) Risk of ESRD and All Cause Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes According to Circulating Levels of FGF-23 and TNFR1. PLoS ONE 8(3): e58007. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058007

Editor: Paolo Fiorina, Children's Hospital Boston/Harvard Medical School, United States of America

Received: December 3, 2012; **Accepted:** January 29, 2013; **Published:** March 20, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Lee et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health DK041526 and DK067638 (ASK), DERC Joslin Diabetes Center Pilot and Feasibility grant (MAN) and a JDRF fellowship grant 3-2009-397 (JS). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: ASK and MAN are co-inventors of the patent application on TNFRs Methods of Diagnosing and Predicting Renal Disease, ref JDP-131US01 that has been assigned to Joslin Diabetes Center/Harvard Medical School, and subsequently transferred to Argutus Medical. These authors declare this does alter their adherence to all PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

* E-mail: Monika.Niewczas@joslin.harvard.edu

Introduction

Diabetic nephropathy is one of the most devastating complications of diabetes. It remains the leading cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), accounting for 44% of ESRD incident cases in the United States [1]. Type 2 diabetes (T2D) also increases risk of mortality [2]. Increased urinary excretion of albumin has long been considered a major determinant of diabetic nephropathy progression. However, its value as an accurate marker of the progression to ESRD was recently challenged [3–5]. Thus, new markers that will better identify diabetes patients with at risk of ESRD or mortality unrelated to ESRD are needed.

Recently, results from the Joslin Kidney Study demonstrated that among several inflammatory markers measured, increased concentrations of circulating Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor (TNFR) 1 and TNFR2 emerged as very strong predictors of

diabetic nephropathy progression to chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage 3 or ESRD [6,7]. TNFR1 and TNFR2 are cell membrane-bound receptors involved in apoptosis, inflammation and immune response [8]. They are released into the extracellular space by the action of a cleavage enzyme or by exocytosis within exosome-like vesicles [9]. It remains unclear how circulating levels of TNFRs impact risk of renal function decline in diabetes [6,7].

Fibroblast growth factor (FGF)-23 is an endocrine hormone secreted by bone cells [10]. The primary physiologic actions of FGF-23 levels are to induce phosphaturia by decreasing urinary reabsorption in proximal tubule, to reduce active vitamin D production and to inhibit PTH secretion [11,12]. Recent epidemiologic studies have focused on the prognostic values of plasma FGF-23 levels and demonstrated that the circulating level of FGF-23 is strongly associated with higher risk of ESRD and death in subjects with CKD [13–17]. Also, circulating levels of

FGF-23 are associated with serum levels of several inflammatory markers in non-diabetic subjects with CKD [18,19], and with circulating levels of TNFR1 in diabetic patients [20].

This study aims to evaluate the effect of circulating levels of FGF-23 on risk of ESRD and mortality unrelated to ESRD in a prospective study of T2D subjects. The question of great importance is whether the effect of TNFR1 can account for the effect of FGF-23, or are these two effects independent.

Materials and Methods

Study patients

The Joslin Kidney Study in T2D patients was previously described [7]. Briefly, a random sample of Joslin Clinic patients with T2D was recruited into the Joslin Study between 1991 and 1995. Eligibility criteria included residence in Massachusetts, T2D diagnosed between ages 35 and 64 years, and age at examination 40 to 69 years. The study protocol and informed written consent procedures were approved by the Joslin Diabetes Center Institutional Review Board. Trained recruiters performed a physical examination that included standardized measurements of blood pressure and collected samples of urine and blood biochemical determinations (stored at -80°C). Questionnaires were supplemented with data from medical records and clinical laboratory database. Of the 600 patients selected, 509 were examined and enrolled into the study. Patients with evidence of nephropathy unrelated to diabetes and patients in CKD stage 5 [defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) $<15\text{ mL/min per }1.73\text{ m}^2$ using the Modified Diet in Renal Disease formula] were excluded. This left 410 patients, with 85% defining themselves as Caucasian. Three hundred eighty patients with available plasma samples for FGF-23 measurements were included in this study.

Assessment of albuminuria status and estimated GFR at baseline

We determined the albumin to creatinine ratio (ACR, mg/g Cr) using the urine sample obtained at the baseline examination. The ACR value was converted to an albumin excretion rate (AER) according to a previously published formula [21]. This AER was used in the univariate and multivariate analyses.

In addition to the baseline urine, we retrieved the results of urinalysis performed on these patients' urine during the preceding two-year interval from the Joslin Clinical computer database, and converted it to an AER as previously described [21]. We determined geometric mean AER for the preceding two-year interval to assign an albuminuria status: normoalbuminuria (AER $<30\text{ }\mu\text{g/min}$), microalbuminuria (AER $30\text{--}300\text{ }\mu\text{g/min}$) and macroalbuminuria/proteinuria ($>300\text{ }\mu\text{g/min}$).

Plasma creatinine was measured in stored baseline samples at the University of Minnesota with the Roche enzymatic assay (Prod No. 11775685) on a Roche/Hitachi Mod P analyzer. eGFR was obtained from plasma concentrations of creatinine using the IDMS-traceable Modified Diet in Renal Disease formula [5]. These measurements were performed in 2009.

Measurements of plasma markers

All plasma markers were measured in baseline specimens by immunoassays in 2009. Circulating TNFR1 levels were determined with ELISA (Cat# DRT100, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) as previously described [7]. Plasma concentrations of C-terminal FGF-23 were determined with ELISA (Cat# 60-6100, Immotopics, San Clemente, CA). All measurements were performed according to the manufacturer's protocols.

Ascertainment of outcomes

The US Renal Data System (USRDS) maintains a roster of US patients receiving renal replacement therapy that includes dates of dialysis and transplantation [22]. The National Death Index (NDI) is a comprehensive roster of deaths in the United States, and includes date and causes of death [23]. All patients were queried against rosters of the USRDS and the NDI covering all events up to the end of 2004, as formerly reported [7].

Statistical Analysis

Analyses were performed in SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, version 9.2). Differences among the three outcome groups were tested using the chi-squared test for categorical variables, and ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's t-test for continuous variables. Bonferroni correction was applied for the number of group comparisons. Spearman rank correlation matrix was created to evaluate the relationships among clinical variables and plasma markers. AER and the levels of markers were transformed to their logarithms for statistical analysis. Incidence rates of ESRD and deaths were tested for trend across quartiles of marker distribution using SAS macro provided by the Mayo Clinic [24,25]. To evaluate the independent effects of markers for the prediction of outcome, we applied Cox proportional hazard models. $P<0.05$ was considered significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics of the study subjects according to outcomes

At study entry, the mean eGFR of the study group was $92\pm31\text{ mL/min per }1.73\text{ m}^2$ and 325 subjects (86%) had preserved renal function (eGFR $\geq60\text{ mL/min per }1.73\text{ m}^2$). One hundred ninety five subjects (51%) had normoalbuminuria, 114 (30%) had microalbuminuria and 71 (19%) had proteinuria.

At the end of follow-up, 249 of the 380 subjects (65%) remained alive. ESRD had developed in 48 (13%) patients. The remaining 83 patients (22%) died without ESRD. Baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1 according to three outcomes: Alive, ESRD, and Deceased. Those categorized as ESRD or Deceased were older, had longer duration of diabetes, higher AER and lower eGFR than those who remained Alive. The three outcome groups did not differ significantly with regard to HbA1c.

Concentrations of two markers in baseline plasma are also summarized in Table 1. As we previously reported, the ESRD group showed higher baseline concentrations of TNFR1 compared with the Alive group [7]. The Deceased group had levels that, while elevated, were only half as high as the ESRD group. Differences in plasma concentrations of FGF-23 according to outcome groups mirrored the pattern of TNFR1. However, the differences were weaker in case of FGF-23. Interestingly, the plasma concentrations of FGF-23 and TNFR1 in the total study subjects were only moderately correlated (Spearman correlation coefficient = 0.49, $p<0.001$).

Results of Follow-up Study

To further evaluate the effects of plasma markers on the occurrence of ESRD and all-cause mortality, we used prospective analysis. During 8–12 years of follow-up the cohort of 380 patients with T2D had 3585 person-years of observation; 48 patients developed ESRD (incidence rate; 13/1000 person-years) and 83 died due to causes unrelated to ESRD (mortality rate; 23/1000 person-years). Incidence rate of ESRD increased from 3 to 6, 10 and 46 per 1000 person-years according to increasing quartiles of baseline FGF-23 ($p<0.0001$ for trend). An even more dramatic

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of subjects with T2D according to their outcome during 8–12 years of follow-up.

Baseline Characteristics	Outcome			P-value	
	Alive (n = 249)	ESRD (n = 48)	Deceased (n = 83)	Alive vs ESRD	Alive vs Deceased
Clinical Characteristics					
Male (%)	54.6	43.8	65.1	0.1672	0.0959
Age (yr)	54±10	60±7	60±7	3.8×10^{-4}	7.9×10^{-7}
Duration of Diabetes (yr)	12±8	18±6	16±8	8.5×10^{-7}	5.9×10^{-5}
HbA1c (%)	8.3±1.7	8.9±1.5	8.6±1.6	0.0607	0.506
AER (μg/min)	20 (12–68)	657 (359–1544)	77 (20–217)	$<10^{-28}$	4.8×10^{-7}
eGFR (mL/min per 1.73 m ²)	100±27	60±27	90±30	$<10^{-28}$	0.0093
Plasma Markers					
TNFR1 (pg/mL)	1188 (1006–1447)	2543 (2151–3771)	1597 (1171–2079)	$<10^{-28}$	5.8×10^{-12}
FGF-23 (RU/mL)	50 (36–75)	117 (65–238)	84 (53–133)	5.6×10^{-8}	2.9×10^{-6}

Data are mean ± SD, median (25th, 75th percentiles), or percentage. AER and plasma markers were transformed to base 10 logarithms for the statistical analyses. Bonferroni correction for a number of groups was applied.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058007.t001

increase was seen for incidence rate of ESRD (rates 0, 1, 3 and 72 per 1000 person-years, $p < 0.0001$ for trend) according to quartiles of baseline TNFR1. Mortality rates increased from 10 to 18, 26 and 49 per 1000 person-years with increasing quartiles of baseline FGF-23 ($p < 0.0001$ for trend). Mortality rate increase (rates 13, 14, 26 and 53 per 1000 person-years, $p < 0.0001$ for trend) according to quartiles of baseline TNFR1 were very similar to that observed for quartiles of baseline FGF-23. More detailed data about incidence rates of ESRD and all cause mortality according to both

quartiles of baseline concentrations of FGF-23 and TNFR1 are shown in Table 2 and in Table S1, respectively.

Risk of ESRD according to both plasma markers

Incidence rates of ESRD by quartiles of baseline plasma concentrations of FGF-23 and TNFR1 are presented in Figure 1A. Darker bars represent higher concentrations of FGF-23. It was clear that although the rates increased with quartiles of FGF-23 in univariate analysis, the risk of ESRD was restricted almost exclusively to patients with the highest quartile of TNFR1. Among

Table 2. Incidence rate of ESRD in subjects with T2D stratified by quartiles of FGF-23 and TNFR1.

	FGF-23 Q1	FGF-23 Q2	FGF-23 Q3	FGF-23 Q4	Total
TNFR1 Q1					
Incidence rate (/1000 person-year)	0	0	0	0	0
No of Events/No of person-years	0/439	0/396	0/203	0/45	0/1083
No of subjects	37	36	18	4	95
TNFR1 Q2					
Incidence rate (/1000 person-year)	0	0	0	8.7	1.0
No of Events/No of person-years	0/355	0/246	0/302	1/115	1/1019
No of subjects	31	23	29	13	96
TNFR1 Q3					
Incidence rate (/1000 person-year)	4.8	0	0	10.4	3.4
No of Events/No of person-years	1/208	0/220	0/259	2/193	3/880
No of subjects	22	22	27	23	94
TNFR1 Q4					
Incidence rate (/1000 person-year)	52.8	55.9	55.4	91.3	72.9
No of Events/No of person-years	2/38	6/107	9/163	27/296	44/603
No of subjects	5	14	21	55	95
Total					
Incidence rate (/1000 person-year)	2.9	6.2	9.7	46.3	13.4
No of Events/No of person-years	3/1039	6/970	9/927	30/648	48/3585
No of subjects	95	95	95	95	380

Quartile cut-off values were 1049, 1302, and 1812 pg/mL for TNFR1 and 42, 60, and 96 RU/mL for FGF-23, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058007.t002

subjects with the highest quartile of TNFR1, the concentrations of FGF-23 did not discriminate the risk of ESRD ($p = 0.13$ for trend according to FGF-23 quartiles). Subjects who had plasma TNFR1 concentrations in quartiles 1-2 did not develop ESRD regardless of FGF-23 concentrations. To evaluate the effect of FGF-23 on the development of ESRD controlling for other clinical characteristics and plasma TNFR1, we used Cox proportional hazard models. The results are shown in Table 3. In a univariate analyses, clinical characteristics and both plasma markers were strongly associated with risk of ESRD. In multivariate analyses (model #1), when clinical characteristics were considered together with each marker, the hazard ratio (HR) for TNFR1 and FGF-23 declined significantly but still remained associated with risk of ESRD (HR 8.4, 95% C.I. 3.1–22.6 for one quartile increase of TNFR1 and HR 1.6, 95% C.I. 1.2–2.1 for one quartile increase of FGF-23). In model #2 when both markers were considered together with clinical characteristics, only the HR for TNFR1 was significant (HR 6.9, 95% C.I. 2.5–19.0 for one quartile increase). The effect of FGF-23 was not significant (HR 1.2, 95% C.I. 0.9–1.7 for one quartile increase).

Mortality according to plasma markers

Rates of all-cause mortality according to quartiles of baseline FGF-23 and TNFR1 are presented in Figure 1B. Darker bars represent higher concentrations of FGF-23. Mortality rates clearly increased with quartiles of FGF-23 and with quartiles of TNFR1. The two effects were additive. To evaluate the effect of FGF-23 on the mortality controlling for other clinical characteristics and plasma TNFR1, we used Cox proportional hazard models. The results are shown in Table 4. In univariate analyses only two clinical characteristics, age and AER, were significant together with baseline concentrations of FGF-23 and TNFR1. In multivariate analyses (model #1) when clinical characteristics were considered together with each marker, the HRs for TNFR1 and FGF-23 declined somewhat but remained strongly associated with mortality (for one quartile increase of TNFR1, HR 1.4, 95% C.I. 1.1–1.7 and for one quartile increase of FGF-23, HR 1.6, 95% C.I. 1.3–2.0). In model #2 when both markers were considered together with clinical characteristics, the HR for FGF-23 was

significant (for one quartile increase of TNFR1, HR 1.1, 95% C.I. 0.8–1.5 and for one quartile increase of FGF-23, HR 1.5, 95% C.I. 1.2–2.0).

When cardiovascular death risk ($n = 47$) was analyzed separately, FGF-23 levels remained independent predictors in the model, which included age, AER and TNFR1 (effect for one quartile FGF-23 increase HR 1.4, 95% C.I. 1.0–2.0). More detailed results are presented in Table S2.

Discussion

In our prospective study of subjects with T2D, we demonstrated that baseline plasma concentration of FGF-23 was associated with increased risk of ESRD. However its effect was no longer significant after controlling for plasma concentration of TNFR1. In other words, plasma concentration of TNFR1 accounted for the effect of FGF-23 on risk of ESRD. However, baseline level of FGF-23 was a significant independent predictor of all-cause as well as cardiovascular mortality unrelated to ESRD.

Recent epidemiologic studies reported association between plasma FGF-23 levels and clinical outcomes in patients with CKD [13–17]. Several cross-sectional studies demonstrated that FGF-23 levels were increased in patients with CKD [26]. Several reports show high levels of circulating FGF-23 as a predictor of progression to ESRD [13,14,17]. In the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort Study during 3.5 years of follow-up elevated FGF-23 was an independent risk factor for ESRD [14]. In another follow-up study of 177 patients with non-diabetic CKD, higher levels of C-terminal FGF-23 and intact FGF-23 were independently associated with incident ESRD [17]. A small study of subjects with diabetes and impaired renal function at baseline reported that FGF-23 was a predictor of renal outcome independent of creatinine clearance, although its 12 ESRD events did not allow a fully adjusted Cox analysis [16].

The mechanisms are unclear as to which circulating FGF-23 may impact/be associated with impaired renal function and contributes to progression to ESRD. In non-diabetic subjects with impaired renal function, circulating levels of FGF-23 were correlated with serum concentrations of several markers of




Figure 1. Incidence rate of ESRD and all-cause mortality stratified by quartiles of FGF-23 and TNFR1. Figure 1A demonstrates incidence rate of ESRD and Figure 1B shows incidence of all cause mortality. Q1–Q4 represents quartiles 1 to 4. Quartile cut-off values were 1049, 1302, and 1812 pg/mL for TNFR1 and 42, 60, and 96 RU/mL for FGF-23, respectively. Increasing color intensity of the columns corresponds to higher concentrations (quartiles) of FGF-23.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058007.g001

Table 3. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models assessing risk of ESRD adjusting for relevant baseline clinical characteristics and plasma markers in subjects with T2D followed for 8–12 years.

	Univariate analyses		Multivariate analyses			
			Model #1		Model #2	
	HR* (95% CI)	P-value	HR* (95% CI)	P-value	HR* (95% CI)	P-value
Clinical Characteristics						
AER	4.3 (3.2–5.9)	<0.0001	2.4 (1.7–3.5)	<0.0001	2.5 (1.7–3.6)	<0.0001
eGFR	2.0 (1.7–2.3)	<0.0001	1.3 (1.1–1.6)	0.0106	1.3 (1.1–1.6)	0.01
HbA1c	1.3 (1.0–1.6)	0.027	1.3 (1.1–1.7)	0.0092	1.4 (1.1–1.7)	0.008
Plasma Markers						
TNFR1	35.5 (15.0–84.0)	<0.0001	8.4 (3.1–22.6)	<0.0001	6.9 (2.5–19.0)	0.0002
FGF-23	2.8 (2.0–3.9)	<0.0001	1.6 (1.2–2.1)	0.002	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	0.15

*Effect measures are expressed as the HR for a one-quartile increase in the distribution of each covariate except for eGFR, for which it is a one-quartile decrease.

Model #1 included relevant clinical characteristics and plasma TNFR1 and FGF-23 independently.

Model #2 included relevant clinical characteristics and plasma TNFR1 and FGF-23 together.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058007.t003

systemic inflammation such as IL-6, C-reactive protein and TNF α [18,19]. One study reported that elevated FGF-23 levels were associated with TNFR1 levels in subjects with diabetic nephropathy [20]. Interestingly these findings were confirmed in our study. Table S3 shows correlations between baseline plasma levels of FGF-23 and ACR, eGFR and plasma markers such as CRP, IL-6, free and total TNF α , TNFR1 and TNFR2. Although these correlations were statistically significant, they were only moderate. The correlations between these markers and plasma level of TNFR1, a marker that accounted for the initial effect of FGF-23 on risk of ESRD in T2D, were almost twice as strong. These patterns of associations may indicate that both FGF-23 and TNFR1 (TNF markers) cause progression to ESRD in the same pathway. TNFR1 appeared to be stronger predictor, either because it is more directly involved in progression to ESRD or because its features as a biomarker are potentially better (i.e. better stability over time). Another possibility is that FGF-23 is simply a correlate of circulating level of TNFRs and is not causally related to progression to ESRD.

The role of FGF-23 on the inflammatory pathway has not yet been studied in depth. The effect of FGF-23 may be mediated via

expression of Klotho. Klotho is an essential cofactor of FGF-23, expressed highly in renal tubules [27]. Higher FGF-23 levels may be associated with low Klotho tissue expression [28,29]. Klotho expression is down-regulated in several kidney injury models and its over-expression attenuates renal damage in the experimental models of kidney injury [30]. Moreno et al. reported that TNF (TNFRs ligand) decreases Klotho expression [31]. The relation between expression of Klotho and plasma levels of TNFRs is unknown. On the other hand, exogenous administration of Klotho suppressed NF- κ B activation and subsequent inflammatory cytokines production in in-vitro study [32]. A few studies examined the clinical implication of plasma Klotho levels in subjects with CKD, but failed to demonstrate consistent association of Klotho levels with renal function or poor outcome [33]. Additionally, increased FGF-23 levels reduce vitamin D activation, which has known anti-inflammatory properties [34,35]. Increase of vitamin D levels by dietary supplement resulted in decrease of systemic inflammatory markers such as CRP and TNF α in subjects with T2D [36].

In contrast to the lack of independent effect of circulating FGF-23 on progression to ESRD, our study demonstrated that FGF-23

Table 4. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models assessing risk of all-cause mortality adjusting for relevant baseline clinical characteristics and plasma markers in subjects with T2D followed for 8–12 years.

	Univariate analyses		Multivariate analyses			
			Model #1		Model #2	
	HR* (95% CI)	P-value	HR* (95% CI)	P-value	HR* (95% CI)	P-value
Clinical Characteristics						
Age	1.6 (1.3–1.9)	<0.0001	1.4 (1.1–1.8)	<0.0001	1.4 (1.1–1.7)	0.0011
AER	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	<0.0001	1.2 (0.99–1.5)	0.059	1.3 (1.04–1.6)	0.0206
Plasma Markers						
TNFR1	1.9 (1.5–2.4)	<0.0001	1.4 (1.1–1.8)	0.012	1.1 (0.8–1.5)	0.42
FGF-23	1.8 (1.5–2.3)	<0.0001	1.6 (1.3–2.0)	<0.0001	1.5 (1.2–2.0)	0.0005

*Effect measures are expressed as the HR for a one-quartile increase in the distribution of each covariate except for eGFR, for which it is a one-quartile decrease.

Model #1 included relevant clinical characteristics and plasma TNFR1 and FGF-23 independently.

Model #2 included relevant clinical characteristics and plasma TNFR1 and FGF-23 together.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058007.t004

had an independent impact on risk of death unrelated to ESRD, including CVD deaths. Interestingly, in multivariate analyses, FGF-23 effect accounted for an effect of circulating TNFRs on mortality in T2D shown in our previous report [7]. The mechanism underlying the association between FGF-23 levels and mortality remains unclear. First, some investigators suggest that FGF-23 levels may be a sensitive surrogate marker for the toxicity of disturbance in phosphate and mineral metabolism in CKD patients [15]. However, the predictive effect of FGF-23 levels is not attenuated by serum phosphate, PTH, and vitamin D levels and FGF-23 levels are stronger predictors of mortality than other bone-related markers [13,16]. Alternatively, FGF-23 levels may be a surrogate marker of the severity of CKD and subsequent increased risk of mortality. However, this scenario is also unlikely given the observation that the association with mortality was independent of TNFR1 levels in this study, while the association with ESRD was not. The third possibility is that elevated FGF-23 levels may be a causal factor contributing to increased mortality. This possibility is indirectly supported by the observation that higher FGF-23 levels are associated with vascular calcification, endothelial dysfunction and left ventricular hypertrophy in CKD patients [15,37,38].

Finally, we should mention a few limitations of our study. First, we measured only C-terminal, and not the intact form of FGF-23. However, a recent study showed the following: both forms are highly correlated; biologically active FGF-23 is accurately measured by either form; and clinical associations are comparably strong between the two [39]. Second, it is not clear how stable plasma concentration of FGF-23 is over a period of several years. For example, we showed that plasma concentrations of TNFR1 are very stable in patients with T1D over several years [6]. Third,

our study was conducted in mostly Caucasian subjects with T2D so it is uncertain if our findings could be applied to Non-Caucasians and to the subjects with T1D.

Supporting Information

Table S1 All-cause mortality in subjects with T2D stratified by quartiles of FGF-23 and TNFR1.

(DOC)

Table S2 Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models assessing risk of cardiovascular mortality adjusting for relevant baseline clinical characteristics and plasma markers in subjects with T2D followed for 8–12 years.

(DOC)

Table S3 Spearman correlation coefficients among clinical variables and plasma markers at baseline.

(DOC)

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank for Harry Spaulding editorial assistance in the preparation of this article.

Author Contributions

Guarantor of this work, having full access to all the data in the study and taking responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analyses: MAN. Conceived and designed the experiments: JL ASK MAN. Performed the experiments: JL TG WHW AMS RRR JJ KPM. Analyzed the data: JL TG JS AMS ASK MAN. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JS ASK MAN. Wrote the paper: JL ASK MAN.

References

- Collins AJ, Foley RN, Chavers B, Gilbertson D, Herzog C, et al. (2012) United States Renal Data System 2011 Annual Data Report: Atlas of chronic kidney disease & end-stage renal disease in the United States. *Am J Kidney Dis* 59: A7, e1–A7,420.
- McEwen LN, Karter AJ, Waitzfelder BE, Crosson JC, Marrero DG, et al. (2011) Predictors of Mortality Over 8 Years in Type 2 Diabetic Patients: Translating Research Into Action for Diabetes (TRIAD). *Diabetes Care*. doi:11–2281.
- Perkins BA, Ficociello LH, Silva KH, Finkelstein DM, Warram JH, et al. (2003) Regression of microalbuminuria in type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 348: 2285–2293.
- MacIsaac RJ, Jerums G (2011) Diabetic kidney disease with and without albuminuria. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 20: 246–257.
- Levey AS, Coresh J, Greene T, Marsh J, Stevens LA, et al. (2007) Expressing the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation for estimating glomerular filtration rate with standardized serum creatinine values. *Clin Chem* 53: 766–772.
- Gohda T, Niewczas MA, Ficociello LH, Walker WH, Skupien J, et al. (2012) Circulating TNF Receptors 1 and 2 Predict Stage 3 CKD in Type 1 Diabetes. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 23: 516–524.
- Niewczas MA, Gohda T, Skupien J, Smiles AM, Walker WH, et al. (2012) Circulating TNF Receptors 1 and 2 Predict ESRD in Type 2 Diabetes. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 23: 507–515.
- Aderka D (1996) The potential biological and clinical significance of the soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 7: 231–240.
- Levine SJ (2008) Molecular mechanisms of soluble cytokine receptor generation. *J Biol Chem* 283: 14177–14181.
- Prie D, Friedlander G (2010) Reciprocal control of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D and FGF23 formation involving the FGF23/Klotho system. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 5: 1717–1722.
- Saito H, Kusano K, Kinoshita M, Ito H, Hirata M, et al. (2003) Human fibroblast growth factor-23 mutants suppress Na⁺-dependent phosphate co-transport activity and 1 α ,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ production. *J Biol Chem* 278: 2206–2211.
- Baum M, Schiavi S, Dwarakanath V, Quigley R (2005) Effect of fibroblast growth factor-23 on phosphate transport in proximal tubules. *Kidney Int* 68: 1148–1153.
- Kendrick J, Cheung AK, Kaufman JS, Greene T, Roberts WL, et al. (2011) FGF-23 associates with death, cardiovascular events, and initiation of chronic dialysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 22: 1913–1922.
- Fliser D, Kollerits B, Neyer U, Ankerst DP, Lhotta K, et al. (2007) Fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF23) predicts progression of chronic kidney disease: the Mild to Moderate Kidney Disease (MMKD) Study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 18: 2600–2608.
- Gutierrez OM, Mannstadt M, Isakova T, Rauh-Hain JA, Tamez H, et al. (2008) Fibroblast growth factor 23 and mortality among patients undergoing hemodialysis. *N Engl J Med* 359: 584–592.
- Titan SM, Zatz R, Gracioli FG, dos Reis LM, Barros RT, et al. (2011) FGF-23 as a predictor of renal outcome in diabetic nephropathy. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 6: 241–247.
- Isakova T, Xie H, Yang W, Xie D, Anderson AH, et al. (2011) Fibroblast growth factor 23 and risks of mortality and end-stage renal disease in patients with chronic kidney disease. *JAMA* 305: 2432–2439.
- Krieger NS, Culbertson CD, Kyker-Snowman K, Bushinsky DA (2012) Metabolic acidosis increases fibroblast growth factor 23 in neonatal mouse bone. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 303: F431–F436.
- Mendoza JM, Isakova T, Ricardo AC, Xie H, Navaneethan SD, et al. (2012) Fibroblast Growth Factor 23 and Inflammation in CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 7: 1155–1162.
- Sharma K, Ix JH, Mathew AV, Cho M, Pflueger A, et al. (2011) Pirfenidone for diabetic nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 22: 1144–1151.
- Krolewski AS, Laffel LM, Krolewski M, Quinn M, Warram JH (1995) Glycosylated hemoglobin and the risk of microalbuminuria in patients with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 332: 1251–1255.
- Agodoa LY, Eggers PW (1995) Renal replacement therapy in the United States: data from the United States Renal Data System. *Am J Kidney Dis* 25: 119–133.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics website. Available: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ndi.htm>. Accessed 2010 Oct 10.
- Gooley TA, Leisenring W, Crowley J, Storer BE (1999) Estimation of failure probabilities in the presence of competing risks: new representations of old estimators. *Stat Med* 18: 695–706.
- Bergstralh E: SAS Macro That Performs Cumulative Incidence in Presence of Competing Risks. Available: <http://mayoresearch.mayo.edu/mayo/research/biostat/upload/comprisk.sas>. Accessed 2010 Feb 3.
- Larsson T, Nisbeth U, Ljunggren O, Juppner H, Jonsson KB (2003) Circulating concentration of FGF-23 increases as renal function declines in patients with chronic kidney disease, but does not change in response to variation in phosphate intake in healthy volunteers. *Kidney Int* 64: 2272–2279.

27. Li SA, Watanabe M, Yamada H, Nagai A, Kinuta M, et al. (2004) Immunohistochemical localization of Klotho protein in brain, kidney, and reproductive organs of mice. *Cell Struct Funct* 29: 91–99.
28. Kurosu H, Ogawa Y, Miyoshi M, Yamamoto M, Nandi A, et al. (2006) Regulation of fibroblast growth factor-23 signaling by klotho. *J Biol Chem* 281: 6120–6123.
29. Koh N, Fujimori T, Nishiguchi S, Tamori A, Shiomi S, et al. (2001) Severely reduced production of klotho in human chronic renal failure kidney. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 280: 1015–1020.
30. Bernheim J, Benchetrit S (2011) The potential roles of FGF23 and Klotho in the prognosis of renal and cardiovascular diseases. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 26: 2433–2438.
31. Moreno JA, Izquierdo MC, Sanchez-Nino MD, Suarez-Alvarez B, Lopez-Larrea C, et al. (2011) The inflammatory cytokines TWEAK and TNFalpha reduce renal klotho expression through NFkappaB. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 22: 1315–1325.
32. Zhao Y, Banerjee S, Dey N, LeJeune WS, Sarkar PS, et al. (2011) Klotho depletion contributes to increased inflammation in kidney of the db/db mouse model of diabetes via RelA (serine)536 phosphorylation. *Diabetes* 60: 1907–1916.
33. Seiler S, Wen M, Roth HJ, Fehrenz M, Flugge F, et al. (2012) Plasma Klotho is not related to kidney function and does not predict adverse outcome in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 10.1038/ki.2012.288 [doi].
34. Alborzi P, Patel NA, Peterson C, Bills JE, Bekele DM, et al. (2008) Paricalcitol reduces albuminuria and inflammation in chronic kidney disease: a randomized double-blind pilot trial. *Hypertension* 52: 249–255.
35. Shimada T, Hasegawa H, Yamazaki Y, Muto T, Hino R, et al. (2004) FGF-23 is a potent regulator of vitamin D metabolism and phosphate homeostasis. *J Bone Miner Res* 19: 429–435.
36. Shab-Bidar S, Neyestani TR, Djazayeri A, Eshraghian MR, Houshiarrad A, et al. (2012) Improvement of vitamin D status resulted in amelioration of biomarkers of systemic inflammation in the subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 28: 424–430.
37. Yilmaz MI, Sonmez A, Saglam M, Yaman H, Kilic S, et al. (2010) FGF-23 and vascular dysfunction in patients with stage 3 and 4 chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 78: 679–685.
38. Gutierrez OM, Januzzi JL, Isakova T, Laliberte K, Smith K, et al. (2009) Fibroblast growth factor 23 and left ventricular hypertrophy in chronic kidney disease. *Circulation* 119: 2545–2552.
39. Shimada T, Urakawa I, Isakova T, Yamazaki Y, Epstein M, et al. (2010) Circulating fibroblast growth factor 23 in patients with end-stage renal disease treated by peritoneal dialysis is intact and biologically active. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 95: 578–585.